(3) Will the proposed project, as presented, in combination with past Past or Reasonably Foreseeable Probable Future Projects, present, and reasonably foreseeable probable future projects identified in items (1) and (2) above, have a reasonable potential to cause or add to significant cumulative impacts in any of the following resource subjects?

	Yes	No	No reasonably
	after mitigation	after mitigation	potential
	(a)	(b)	significant effects
			impacts (c)
1. Watershed			
2. Soil			
Productivity			
3. Biological			
4. Recreation			
5. Visual			
6. Traffic			
7. Greenhouse			
Gases (GHG)			
8. Wildfire Risk			
and Hazard			
9. Other			

Yes	No	No reasonably
after mitigation	after mitigation	potential
(a)	(b)	significant effects
		impacts (c)

- a) "Yes <u>after mitigation"</u>, means that potential significant adverse cumulative impacts are left after application of the forest practice rules <u>Forest Practice Rules</u> and mitigations or alternatives proposed by the plan submitter.
- b) <u>"No after mitigation"</u> means that any potential for the proposed timber operation to cause or add to significant adverse cumulative impacts by itself or in combination with other projects has been reduced to insignificance or avoided by mitigation measures or alternatives proposed in the <u>THP Plan</u> and application of the <u>forest practice rules Forest Practice Rules</u>.
- c) "No reasonably potential significant cumulative effects impacts" means that the operations proposed under the THP Plan do not have a reasonable potential to join with the impacts of any other project to cause, add to, or constitute significant adverse cumulative impacts.
- (4) If column (a) is checked in (3) above describe why the expected impacts cannot be feasibly mitigated or avoided and what mitigation measures or alternatives were considered to reach this determination. If column (b) is checked in (3) above describe what mitigation measures have been selected which will substantially reduce or avoid reasonably potential significant cumulative impacts except for those mitigation measures or alternatives mandated by application of the <u>Forest Practice Rules</u> rules of the <u>Board of Forestry</u>.

- (5) Provide a brief description of the assessment area used for each resource subject.
- (6) List and briefly describe the individuals, organizations, and records consulted in the assessment of cumulative impacts for each resource subject. Records of the information used in the assessment shall be provided to the Director upon request.

BOARD OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION TECHNICAL RULE ADDENDUM NO. 2 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ASSESSMENT

Introduction

The purpose of this addendum is to guide the assessment of cumulative impacts as required in 14 CCR §§ 898, 912.9, 932.9, 952.9 and 1034 that may occur as a result of proposed timber operations. This assessment shall include evaluation of both onsite and off-site interactions of proposed project activities with the impacts of Past Projects and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects past and reasonably foreseeable future projects.

In conducting an assessment, the RPF must-shall distinguish between the potential on-site impacts of the Plan's proposed activities that are mitigated by application of the Forest Practice Rules and the interactions of proposed activities (which may not be significant when considered alone) with impacts of Past Projects and Reasonably Foreseeable Probable Future Projects past and reasonably foreseeable future projects pursuant to PRC § 15130(b)(1)(a).

Resource subjects to be considered in the assessment of cumulative impacts are described in the <u>Technical Rule Addendum No. 2</u> Appendix.

The RPF preparing a THP <u>Plan</u> shall conduct an assessment based on information that is reasonably available <u>before prior to</u> submission of the <u>THP Plan</u>. RPFs <u>are expected to shall</u> submit sufficient information to support their findings if significant issues are raised during the Department's review of the <u>THP Plan</u>.

Information used in the assessment of cumulative impacts may be supplemented during the THP Plan review period. Agencies participating in plan Plan review may provide input into the cumulative impacts assessment based upon their area of expertise. Agencies should shall justify and support their recommendations with documentation.

The Department, as lead agency, shall make the final determination regarding assessment sufficiency and the presence or absence of significant cumulative impacts. This determination shall be based on a review of all sources of information provided and developed during review of the Timber Harvesting Plan.

Identification of Resource Areas

The RPF shall establish and briefly describe the geographic assessment area within or surrounding the plan Plan for each resource subject to be assessed and shall briefly explain the rationale for establishing the resource area. This shall be a narrative description and shall be shown on a map when a map adds clarity to the assessment.

Identification of Information Sources

The RPF shall list and briefly describe the individuals, organizations, and records used relied upon as sources of information in the assessment of cumulative impacts, including references for listed records and the names, affiliations, addresses, and

1

phone numbers of specific individuals contacted. Records of information used in the assessment shall be provided to the Director upon request.

Common sources of information for cumulative effects <u>impacts</u> assessment are identified below. Sources to be used will depend upon the complexity of individual situations and the amount of information available from other plans. Sources not listed below may have to be consulted based on individual circumstances. Not all sources of information need to be consulted for every THP-Plan.

- 1. Consultation with Experts and Organizations:
 - (a) County Planning Department;
- (b) Biologists;

(c) Geologists;

(d) Soil Scientists;

(e) Hydrologists;

(f) Federal Agencies;

(g) State Agencies;

(h) Public and private utilities.

- 2. Records Examined:
 - (a) Soil Maps;

- (b) Geology Maps;
- (c) Aerial Photographs;
- (d) Natural Diversity Data Base;
- (e) THP Plan Records;
- (f) Special Environmental

Reports;

- (g) Topographic Maps; Basin Plans;
- (h) Basin Plans; Fire History

Maps;

- (i) Fire History Maps; Relevant Federal Agency Documents or Plans;
- (j) Relevant Federal Agency Documents or Plans;
- (k) Relevant Watershed or Wildlife Studies (published or unpublished);
- (I) Available Modeling Approaches

As provided in Section898 of the rules, the RPF or supervised designee and the plan submitter must consult information sources that are reasonably available.

Past <u>Projects and Reasonably Foreseeable Probable</u> and Future Activities <u>Projects</u>

Past <u>Projects</u> and <u>Reasonably Foreseeable Probable Future Projects</u> <u>future projects</u> included in the cumulative impacts assessment shall be described as follows:

- A. Identify and briefly describe the location of past and reasonably foreseeable probable future projects Past Projects and Reasonably Foreseeable Probable Future Projects as defined in 14 CCR § 895.1 within described resource assessment areas. Include a map or maps and associated legend(s) clearly depicting the following information:
 - 1. Township and Range numbers and Section lines.
- **2.** Boundary of the planning Planning watershed Watershed (s) within which the plan area is located along with the CALWATER 2.2 identification number.
- 3. Location and boundaries of <u>Past Projects and Reasonably Foreseeable</u>

 <u>Probable Future Projects past, present and reasonably foreseeable probable future timber harvesting projects on land owned or controlled by the timberland owner of the proposed timber harvest within the <u>planning Planning watershed Watershed</u> (s) depicted in section (2) above. For purposes of this section, <u>Past Projects past projects</u> shall be limited to those projects submitted within ten years prior to submission of the THP Plan.</u>
- **4.** Silvicultural methods for each of the timber harvesting projects depicted in section (3) above. Each specific silvicultural method must be clearly delineated on the map(s), and associated THP Plan number referenced in the legend or an

annotated list. In addition, shading, hatching, or labeling shall be used which clearly differentiates silvicultural methods into one of the four categories outlined in Table 1.

- 5. A north arrow and scale bar (or scale text).
- **6.** Source(s) of geographical information.

The map scale shall be large enough to clearly represent one planning watershed per page or of a scale not less than 1:63,360. Planning watersheds with densely situated or overlapping harvest units, or those which are large or irregular in size, may require multiple maps to achieve clarity. Map(s) shall be reproducible on black & white copiers, and submitted on an $8\frac{1}{2} \times 11$ page(s).

Table 1

Silvicultural Category	Silvicultural Method
Evenaged	Clearcutting, Seed Tree Seed Step, Seed Tree
Management	Removal Step, Shelterwood Preparatory Step,
14 CCR § 913.1 [933.1,	Shelterwood Seed Step, Shelterwood Removal Step
953.1]	
Unevenaged	Selection, Group Selection, Transition
Management	
14 CCR § 913.2 [933.2,	
953.2]	
Intermediate	Commercial Thinning, Sanitation-Salvage
Treatments	
14 CCR § 913.3 [933.3,	
953.3]	

Commented [DM1]: Decision Point: This is a regulatory standard; therefore, should ONLY black and white maps be required. NOTE: the proposed regulatory proposal the WFMP allows for color maps.

Special
Prescriptions and
Other Management
14 CCR § 913.4 [933.4,
953.4]

2.4

Special Treatment Area Prescriptions, Rehabilitation of Understocked Area Prescription,
Fuelbreak/Defensible Space, Southern Subdistrict
Special Harvesting Method (14 CCR § 913.8),
Variable Retention, Conversion

Alternative Prescriptions shall be put into the category within which the most nearly appropriate or feasible silvicultural method in the Forest Practice Rules is found pursuant to 14 CCR § 913.6 (b)(3)[933.6(b)(3), 953.6(b)(3)].

B. The RPF shall Identify-identify and give the location and description of any known, continuing significant environmental problems effects caused by past-Past projects. Projects as defined in 14 CCR § 895.1. The RPF who prepares the plan Plan, or their supervised designee, shall obtain information from plan submitters (timberland or timber owner), and from appropriate agencies, landowners, and individuals about past, and future land management activities and shall consider past experience, if any, in the assessment area related to past impacts and the impacts of the proposed operations, rates of recovery, and land uses. A poll of adjacent land owners is encouraged and may be required by the Director to determine such activities and significant adverse environmental problems on adjacent ownerships.

 $\begin{array}{llll} \textbf{Commented [DM2]:} & \texttt{APPENDIX} & \texttt{commences} & \texttt{here,} \\ \textbf{which has been determined to date by the FPC} \\ \textbf{to be a guidance component to TRA $$\#2.$} \end{array}$

Repeal:

Appendix

Technical Rule Addendum #2

In evaluating cumulative impacts, the RPF shall consider the factors set forth herein.

A. Watershed Resources

Cumulative Watershed Effects (CWEs) occur within and near bodies of water or significant wet areas, where individual impacts are combined to produce an effect that is greater than any of the individual impacts acting alone. Factors to consider in the evaluation of cumulative watershed impacts are listed below.

- 1. Impacts to watershed resources within the Watershed Assessment Area (WAA) shall be evaluated based on significant on site and off-site cumulative effects on beneficial uses of water, as defined and listed in applicable Water Quality Control Plans.
- 2. Watershed effects produced by timber harvest and other activities may include one or more of the following:
 - Sediment.
 - Water temperature.
 - Organic debris.
 - Chemical contamination.
 - Peak flow.

The following general guidelines shall be used when evaluating watershed impacts.

The factors described are general and may not be appropriate for all situations. Actual measurements may be required if needed to evaluate significant environmental effects.

The plan must comply with the quantitative or narrative water-quality objectives set forth in an applicable Water Quality Control Plan.

a. Sediment Effects Sediment-induced CWEs occur when earth materials transported by surface or mass wasting erosion enter a stream or stream system at separate locations and are then combined at a downstream location to produce a change in water quality or channel condition. The eroded materials can originate from the same or different projects.

Accelerated channel filling (aggradation) resulting in lo	ese
of streamside vegetation and stream migration that can cause accelerated bank	
prosion.	
Accelerated filling of downstream reservoirs, navigable)
hannels, water diversion and transport facilities, estuaries, and harbors.	
Channel scouring by debris flows and torrents.	
- Nuisance to or reduction in water related recreational	
ectivities.	
Situations where sediment production potential is greatest incl	ude:
- Sites with high or extreme erosion hazard ratings.	
- Sites which are tractor logged on steep slopes.	
Unstable areas.	
b. Water Temperature Effects Water temperature related CW	/Es
re changes in water chemistry or biological properties caused by the combination	-of
colar warmed water from two or more locations (in contrast to an individual effect th	1at
esults from impacts along a single stream segment) where natural cover has been	F
emoved. Cumulative changes in water temperature are most likely to occur in the	
ellowing situations:	
- Where stream bottom materials are dark in color.	
Where water is shallow and has little underflow.	
- Where removal of streamside canopy results in	
substantial, additional solar exposure or increased contact with warm air at two or i	nore
ocations along a stream.	

1	Where removal of streamside canopy results in
2	substantial, additional solar exposure or increased contact with warm air at two or more
3	streams that are tributary to a larger stream.
4	Where water temperature is near a biological threshold for
5	specific species.
6	Significant adverse impacts of cumulative temperature increases
7	include:
8	- Increases in the metabolic rate of aquatic species.
9	Direct increases in metabolic rate and/or reduction of
10	dissolved oxygen levels, either of which can cause reduced vigor and death of
11	sensitive fish and other sensitive aquatic organisms.
12	Increased growth rates of microorganisms that deplete
13	dissolved oxygen levels or increased disease potential for organisms.
14	Stream biology shifts toward warmer water ecosystems.
15	c. Organic Debris Effects. CWEs produced by organic debris
16	can occur when logs, limbs, and other organic material are introduced into a stream or
17	lake at two or more locations. Decomposition of this debris, particularly the smaller
18	sized and less woody material, removes dissolved oxygen from the water and can
19	cause impacts similar to those resulting from increased water temperatures.
20	Introduction of excessive small organic debris can also increase water acidity.
21	Large organic debris is an important stabilizing agent that should be maintained
22	in small to medium size, steep gradient channels, but the sudden introduction of large,
23	unstable volumes of bigger debris (such as logs, chunks, and larger limbs produced
24	during a logging operation) can obstruct and divert streamflow against erodible banks,
25	block fish migration, and may cause debris torrents during periods of high flow.

Removing streamside vegetation can reduce the natural, annual inputs of litter to the stream (after decomposition of logging related litter.) This can cause both a drop in food supply, and resultant productivity, and a change in types of food available for organisms that normally dominate the lower food chain of streams with an overhanging or adjacent forest canopy.

d. Chemical Contamination Effects. Potential sources of chemical CWEs include run-off from roads treated with oil or other dust-retarding materials, direct application or run-off from pesticide treatments, contamination by equipment fuels and oils, and the introduction of nutrients released during slash burning or wildfire from two or more locations.

e. Peak Flow Effects. CWEs caused by management induced peak flow increases in streams during storm events are difficult to anticipate. Peak flow increases may result from management activities that reduce vegetative water use or produce openings where snow can accumulate (such as clear cutting and site preparation) or that change the timing of flows by producing more efficient runoff routing (such as insloped roads.) These increases, however, are likely to be small relative to natural peak flows from medium and large storms. Research to date on the effects of management activities on channel conditions indicates that channel changes during storm events are primarily the result of large sediment inputs.

3. Watercourse Condition. The watershed impacts of past upstream and on-site projects are often reflected in the condition of stream channels on the project area. Following is a list of channel characteristics and factors that may be used to describe current watershed conditions and to assist in the evaluation of potential project impacts:

1	♦ Organic Debris - Debris in the watercourse can have either a
2	positive or negative impact depending on the amount and stability of the material.
3	
	Some stable organic debris present in the watercourse helps to form pools and retard
4	sediment transport and downcutting in small to medium sized streams with relatively
5	steep gradients. Large accumulations of organic debris can block fish passage, block
6	er divert streamflew, or could be released as a debris flow.
7	
8	stream vegetation provide shade or cover to the stream, which may have an impact on
9	water temperature, and provides root systems that stabilize streambanks and
.0	floodplains and filter sediment from flood flows.
.1	→ Recent Floods - A recent high flow event that would be
.2	considered unusual in the project area may have an impact on the current watercourse
.3	condition.
.4	B. Soil Productivity
.5	Cumulative soil productivity impacts occur when the effects of two or more
.6	activities, from the same or different projects, combine to produce a significant
.7	
	decrease in soil biomass production potential. These impacts most often occur on site
.8	decrease in soil biomass production potential. These impacts most often occur on site within the project boundary, and the relative severity of productivity losses for a given
.8	within the project boundary, and the relative severity of productivity losses for a given
.8	within the project boundary, and the relative severity of productivity losses for a given level of impact generally increases as site quality declines.
.8	within the project boundary, and the relative severity of productivity losses for a given level of impact generally increases as site quality declines. The primary factors influencing soil productivity that can be affected by timber
.8 .9 20	within the project boundary, and the relative severity of productivity losses for a given level of impact generally increases as site quality declines. The primary factors influencing soil productivity that can be affected by timber operations include:
.8 .9 .20 .21	within the project boundary, and the relative severity of productivity losses for a given level of impact generally increases as site quality declines. The primary factors influencing soil productivity that can be affected by timber operations include: Organic matter loss.

1. Organic Matter Loss. Displacement or loss of organic matter can result in a long term loss of soil productivity. Soil surface litter and downed woody debris are the store house of long term soil fertility, provide for soil moisture conservation, and support soil microorganisms that are critical in the nutrient cycling and uptake process. Much of the chemical and microbial activity of the forest nutrient cycle is concentrated in the narrow zone at the soil and litter interface.

Displacement of surface organic matter occurs as a result of skidding, mechanical site

preparation, and other land disturbing timber operations. Actual loss of organic matter occurs as a result of burning or erosion. The effects of organic matter loss on soil productivity may be expressed in terms of the percentage displacement or loss as a result of all project activities.

2. Surface Soil Loss. The soil is the storehouse of current and future site fertility, and the majority of nutrients are held in the upper few inches of the soil profile. Topsoil displacement or loss can have an immediate effect on site productivity, although effects may not be obvious because of reduced brush competition and lack of side by side comparisons or until the new stand begins to fully occupy the available growing space.

Surface soil is primarily lost by erosion or by displacement into windrows, piles, or fills.

Mass wasting is a special case of erosion with obvious extreme effects on site productivity. The impacts of surface soil loss may be evaluated by estimating the proportion of the project area affected and the depth of loss or displacement.

3. Soil Compaction. Compaction affects site productivity through loss of large soil pores that transmit air and water in the soil and by restricting root penetration.

The risk of compaction is associated with:

- Depth of surface litter.

1	——————————————————————————————————————
2	Soil organic matter content. Presence and amount of coars
3	fragments in the soil.
4	Soil texture. Soil moisture status.
5	
6	Compaction effects may be evaluated by considering the soil conditions, as
7	listed above, at the time of harvesting activities and the proportion of the project area
8	subjected to compacting forces.
9	4. Growing Space Loss. Forest growing space is lost to roads,
10	landings, permanent skid trails, and other permanent or non-restored areas subjected
11	to severe disturbance and compaction.
12	The effects of growing space loss may be evaluated by considering the overall
13	pattern of roads, etc., relative to feasible silvicultural systems and yarding methods.
14	-C. Biological Resources
15	Biological assessment areas will vary with the species being evaluated and its
16	habitat. Factors to consider in the evaluation of cumulative biological impacts include:
17	1. Any known rare, threatened, or endangered species or sensitive
18	species (as described in the Forest Practice Rules) that may be directly or indirectly
19	affected by project activities.
20	Significant cumulative effects on listed species may be expected from the results of
21	activities over time which combine to have a substantial effect on the species or on the
22	habitat of the species.
23	2. Any significant, known wildlife or fisheries resource concerns within
24	the immediate project area and the biological assessment area (e.g. loss of oaks
25	creating forage problems for a local deer herd, species requiring special elements,

provide an important habitat for many wildlife species. Large woody debris of greatest value consists of downed logs > 16" diameter at the large end and > 20 feet in length.

c. Multistory canopy: Upland multistoried canopies have a marked influence on the diversity and density of wildlife species utilizing the area. More productive timberland is generally of greater value and timber site capability should be considered as a factor in an assessment. The amount of upland multistoried canopy may be evaluated by estimating the percent of the stand composed of two or more tree layers on an average per acre basis.

Near-water multistoried canopies in riparian zones that include conifer and hardwood tree species provide an important element of structural diversity to the habitat requirements of wildlife. Near-water multistoried canopy may be evaluated by estimating the percentage of ground covered by one or more vegetative canopy strata, with more emphasis placed on shrub species along Class III and IV streams (14 CCR 916.5, 936.5, or 956.5).

d. Road Density: Frequently traveled permanent and secondary roads have a significant influence on wildlife use of otherwise suitable habitat. Large declines in deer and bear use of areas adjacent to open roads are frequently noted. Road density influence on large mammal habitat may be evaluated by estimating the miles of open permanent and temporary roads, on a per-section basis, that receive some level of maintenance and are open to the public. This assessment should also account for the effects of vegetation screening and the relative importance of an area to wildlife on a seasonal basis (e.g. winter range).

e. Hardwood Cover: Hardwoods provide an important element of habitat diversity in the coniferous forest and are utilized as a source of food and/or cover by a large proportion of the state's bird and mammal species. Productivity of deer

and other species has been directly related to mast crops. Hardwood cover can be estimated using the basal area per acre provided by hardwoods of all species.

1.5

[Northern and Southern only]: Post harvest deciduous oak retention for the maintenance of habitats for mule deer and other hardwood associated wildlife shall be guided by the Joint Policy on Hardwoods between the California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection and California Fish and Game Commission (5/9/94). To sustain wildlife, a diversity of stand structural and seral conditions, and tree size and age classes of deciduous oaks should be retained in proportions that are ecologically sustainable. Regeneration and recruitment of young deciduous oaks should be sufficient over time to replace mortality of older trees. Deciduous oaks should be present in sufficient quality and quantity, and in appropriate locations to provide functional habitat elements for hardwood associated wildlife.

f. Late Seral (Mature) Forest Characteristics: Determination of the presence or absence of mature and over—mature forest stands and their structural characteristics provides a basis from which to begin an assessment of the influence of management on associated wildlife. These characteristics include large trees as part of a multilayered canopy and the presence of large numbers of snags and downed logs that contribute to an increased level of stand decadence. Late seral stage forest amount may be evaluated by estimating the percentage of the land base within the project and the biological assessment area occupied by areas conforming to the following definitions:

Forests not previously harvested should be at least 80 acres in size to maintain the effects of edge. This acreage is variable based on the degree of similarity in surrounding areas. The area should include a multi-layered canopy, two or more tree species with several large coniferous trees per acre (smaller subdominant trees may

Commented [DM3]: Decision Point: Should the reference the Joint Policy still be contained in TRA #2 guidance. If the FPC determines that this should be a regulatory standard, this text could be relocated to 912.9, or this SHALL could become a MAY in the appendix to reflect that this is "guidance".

be either conifers or hardwoods), large conifer snags, and an abundance of large woody debris.

Previously harvested forests are in many possible stages of succession and may include remnant patches of late seral stage forest which generally conform to the definition of unharvested forests but do not meet the acreage criteria.

g. Late Seral Habitat Continuity: Projects containing areas meeting the definitions for late seral stage characteristics must be evaluated for late seral habitat continuity. The fragmentation and resultant isolation of late seral habitat types is one of the most significant factors influencing the sustainability of wildlife populations not adapted to edge environments.

This fragmentation may be evaluated by estimating the amount of the on-site project and the biological assessment area occupied by late seral stands greater than 80 acres in size (considering the mitigating influence of adjacent and similar habitat, if applicable) and less than one mile apart or connected by a corridor of similar habitat.

h. Special Habitat Elements: The loss of a key habitat element may have a profound effect on a species even though the habitat is otherwise suitable. Each species may have several key limiting factors to consider. For example, a special need for some large raptors is large decadent trees/snags with broken tops or other features. Deer may have habitat with adequate food and cover to support a healthy population size and composition but dependent on a few critical meadows suitable for fawning success. These and other key elements may need special protection.

D. Recreational Resources

The recreational assessment area is generally the area that includes the logging area plus 300 feet.

To assess recreational cumulative impacts: